1980/39. Review of resistivity sounding interpretation - Winnaleah area

D.E. Leaman

#### INTRODUCTION

Five deep soundings were observed in the Winnaleah - Branxholm area during 1972 and reported by Leaman (1974). The soundings were undertaken on an experimental basis to evaluate the possible use of the technique for structural analysis of basalt-covered deep leads. It was found that large arrays were possible with the available equipment, but the interpretations offered were uncontrolled. Drilling of the five sites was recommended before any major application of the technique was considered. As each of the sites has now been drilled it is possible to provide a review of the interpretations and the effectiveness and penetration of the method.

### REVIEW OF INTERPRETATION

Each sounding is discussed below using the notation of Leaman (1974). The revised interpretation has been undertaken using any interfaces suggested in the drilling log and any implications based on material type. Initial estimates have then been revised until a good match was obtained with the field data. The interpretation procedure used is multi-layer with no restrictions on the number of layers, although the minimum layer thickness possible is 0.1 m. It is based on the filter procedure of Ghosh (1971). This procedure offers good accuracy and reliability, and there is no need to interpolate groups of layers as required by the series expansion used for the earlier interpretation or graphical techniques.

### SOUNDING 1

Location: Pioneer Back Road, Winnaleah

Borehole: Steele

Log summary :

Depth (m)	${\it Description}$
0 - 1.2	soil
1.2- 3.6	basaltic clay
<b>3.6-</b> 7 <b>.</b> 5	weathered basalt
7.5- 9.0	basalt
9.0-11.0	clay, boulders
11.0-26.0	basalt
26.0-28.0	clay
28.0-40.0	basalt
40.0->57.0	clay, cemented sand

### Interpretation:

The interpretations given below are equivalent. The original estimates for surface soil and clay are shown to be adequate and a representative resistivity was applied to the weathered rock (to 15 m). The controlled interpretation has identified some layering in the basalt (11-26 m) which becomes resistive, and probably massive, at about 17 m. The original interpretation is not at variance with this conclusion, but the series approach was insensitive to a series of positive contrasts.

Note that the overall penetration of the 600 m sounding is about 20 m, largely due to the overall conductive character of the terrain.

Uncontrolled				Cor	ntrolled			
Layer	Resistivity	$(\Omega m)$	Depth	(m)	Resistivity	$(\Omega m)$	De <u>p</u> th	(m)
1	200				140			
2	1 5		1.2		20		1.3	
2	15		2.7		30		3.6	
3	150				90		-,-	
			9.0				7.5	
4	180		15.0		1000		9.0	
5	800		10.0		75		J.0	
			?				11.0	
6	1000				150		13.0	
7					750		13.0	
							15.0	
8					250			
9					2500		17.0	

## SOUNDING 2

Location: Winnaleah by-pass road

Borehole: Shaw Log summary:

Depth (m)	${\it Description}$
0- 1	soil
1- 3	soil, boulders
3–20	<pre>basaltic clay, with boulders   (weathered basalt)</pre>
20-24	basalt
24-26	clay
26-28	basalt
28-33	honeycombed basalt
33-61	massive basalt

# Interpretation:

Uncontrolled			Controlled		
Layer	Resistivity ( $\Omega$ m)	Depth (m)	Resistivity ( $\Omega$ m)	Depth (m)	
1	300		300		
2	5000?	7	<0.1	13.0	
_		7.5		13.1	
3	125	8	1500		
4	20		?		
5	5000	15	(∿150/200)		

The interpretations are in overall agreement. However, filter processing has indicated that the minor variations in the original interpretation between 7 and 8 m are probably not present. Both interpretations

suggest a termination of low resistivity values in the region of 13-15 m, which is described as weathered basalt in the log. However, analysis of the detailed character of the curve reveals a thin layer of almost perfect conductor marks the interface between basalt and debris. This effectively inhibits current flow and its addition to the model prevents further interpretation.

### SOUNDING 3

Location: Rattrays Road, Winnaleah

Borehole: Lester

Log summary:

Depth (m)	Description
0- 1	soil
1 8	clay, boulders
8-43	massive basalt
43-54	soft basalt
54-62	massive basalt

## Interpretation:

${\it Uncontrolled}$				Controlled		
Layer	Resistivity	(Ωm) Depth	(m)	Resistivity	( $\Omega$ m) Dept	th (m)
1	700			650		
2	350	2.0		600	1.	.0
3	2000	8.0		250	1.	, 3
4	100	17.0		900	4.	, 0
		110.0			6.	, 2
5	2000			2000	9.	.5
6				100	11.	.0
7		•		50	15.	0
8				600	10,	.0

Both interpretations recognise the resistive layer at about nine metres - the top of the massive basalt. The uncontrolled version is in error due to smoothing and integration of many layers. The bulk resistivity estimates are justified however. The revised interpretation indicates a maximum penetration of about 30 m. The relatively low basalt resistivity implies that the rock is wet.

### SOUNDING 4

Location: Tasman Highway, Legerwood junction

Borehole: Johnson

Log summary:

Depth (m)

O-18

decomposed basalt

basalt - hole abandoned at 19 m.

# Interpretation:

Uncontrolled			Conti	colled			
Layer	Resistivity	(Om)	Depth (m)	Resistivity	$(\Omega m)$	Depth	(m)
1	1000			700			
			1			1	
2	50			200			
			2			3	
3	2000			5000			
			20			6	
4	20000			25000			
			30			12	
5	100,000			30000			
	/		60			13	
6	300			600		10	
-	300			000			

The 'controlled' sounding has benefited from improved modelling techniques and neither interpretation is supported by drilling information. Maximum penetration approximately 20 m.

## SOUNDING 5

Location : Branxholm Back Road

Borehole : Brown

Log summary:

Depth (m)	Description
0- 1	soil
1- 2	clay, gravel
2-14	weathered basalt
14-23	basalt

## Interpretation :

Uncontrolled			${\it Controlled}$		
Layer	Resistivity (Ωπ	n) Depth (m)	Resistivity ( $\Omega m$ )	Depth (m)	
1	<b>7</b> 50		770		
2	200	1	500	0.6	
3	100	5	100	1.2	
4	1000	40	120	14.0	
		90		20.0	
5	500	120	500	25.0	
6	2000		350		

There is little correlation between the interpretations other than bulk equivalence of the upper materials (<25 m). The uncontrolled interpretation incorrectly identifies deep layers, since the maximum penetration is about 35-40 m. The basalt below 20-25 m is clearly saturated.

### CONCLUSIONS

The original uncontrolled interpretations based on an often unreliable series calculation have yielded reasonable bulk estimates of resistivity values and layer thicknesses, but in some cases have inferred an unrealistic depth range. In the basalt terrain around Winnaleah, penetration and depth resolution of a 600 m half array spacing is generally less than 30 m. This surprisingly low penetration is related to the number of high contrast thin layers with some of very low resistivity. Massive and wet basalt are identifiable, approximately 2000+  $\Omega$ m compared to 500  $\Omega$ m. It may therefore be concluded that the method is of no structural value in this region, but could be used to trace shallow local boundaries or locate massive and probably dry, or moist rock. In either case the Wenner (a) or Schlumber (AB/2) value must exceed 400 m.

### REFERENCES

- GHOSH, D.P., 1971. Inverse filter coefficients for the computation of apparent resistivity standard curves for a horizontally stratified earth. *geophys.Prosp.* 19:769-775.
- LEAMAN, D.E., 1974. Use of deep resistivity probes in basalt covered Tertiary basins. *Tech.Rep.Dep.Mines Tasm.* 17:65-72.

[9 October 1980]