

99

Ref 3185

Rough concentration : J. Campbell
 Cleanup by Dorset Tin

SCREENINGS and CLEANUP

3 0176

Supervised by J. H. Rattigan

Sample No.	No. of measuring Buckets	Cubic feet Cores	+ $\frac{3}{8}$	- $\frac{3}{8}$	Volume x Calculations	Volume Washed	Weight Concentrate	Weight heavy mineral less Cassiterite	Weight Cassiterite	Screen Analysis (Cassiterite)	Proportions of gold or other economic by products	Check Tails	Remarks
1	4-8 1/2"	3.4		3.4		0.25			Nil				
2	4-9 1/2"	3.3		3.3		0.25			Nil				
3	2-8.9/10"	1.33		1.33		0.25			Nil				
4	2-10 1/2"	1.25		1.25		0.25			Nil				
5	2-10 1/2"	1.25		1.25		0.25			Nil				
6	1-4.1/10"	0.69		0.69		0.25			Nil				
7	1-4"	0.70		0.70		0.70			Nil				
8	1-1"	0.93		0.93		0.93			Nil				
9	2-10 1/2"	1.20	Tr.	1.20		1.20			0.09 oz.				(Most of this tin c end of sample int wash was hit.
10	2-11.3"	1.17	.20	0.97		1.17			4.54 oz.	+ 50 41.5% +100 48.1% -100 10.4%			
11	1-12.1"	0.06	Nil	0.06		0.06			Tr.				Richest tin over
Spillings	1-9.2"	0.29				0.29							No correction was from spillings.
Check tails	Tails over corduroy cloth from samples 9 and 10 gave 0.26 oz. which were added to concentrate from sample 10 for calculation purposes.												
	Tail check gave C												
	i.e. recovery in panning was $\frac{4.37}{4.63} = 92\%$ (?)												

DRUMS	SCREEN ANALYSIS
1" = 0.074 c.ft.	+ 16
	+ 30
	+ 50
Tubes	+ 100
1ft. = 0.14 c.ft.	+ 150
5ft. = 0.70 c.ft.	+ 200
	- 200

Calculations and Corrections by J. H. Rattigan

Sample 9 0.09 oz from 1.2 c. ft. = $\frac{0.09}{1.2} \times 27 = 2.03$ oz./yard.

Sample 10 4.54 oz. from 1.17 c.ft. = $\frac{4.54}{1.17} \times 27 = 114.8$ oz.

Weighted value = $\frac{(5 \times 114.8) + (5.5 \times 2.03)}{104.5} = 5.6$ oz./yd.

	ft.	%	VALUE
Total Depth	104		
to bottom			
Overburden	99		
Paywash	5		
Sand			5.60 oz./yard.
Clay			0.35 lb/yd
Shingle and Pebble beds	5'		
Overburden	20		
Paywash	1	approx.	
Percentage Oversize	+ Tr.		